



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.mspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 09/769,591 | 01/25/2001 | William L. Betts | 061607-1400 | 4539 | |
| 7590 05/14/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Scott A. Horstemeyer | | | PHU, PHUONG M | | |
| Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, L.L.P. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Suite 1750 | | | AKTONII | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 100 Galleria Parkway, N.W. | | | 2631 | 2631 DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004 | |
| Atlanta, GA 30339-5948 | | | DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 09/769,591 | BETTS, WILLIAM L. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| - | Phuong Phu | 2631 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe | ebruary 2001. | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction is objected to by the Examiner of the correction of the correc | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The applicant is notified hereby that the IDS filed on 2/21/01 has been considered by the examiner. However, reference (09/717,436), listed under section OTHER DOCUMENTS, is not initialed by the examiner because it has not yet been published, and therefore, it is not considered as a prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the region representing an error metric within which each primary signal point may be located" on line 8 and 9. The language "may be located" is not a definite language to indicate whether "each primary signal point" is necessary to be located in "the region".

Similarly, languages "may be located" in claims 10, 19 and 28 are not definite languages.

Claim 27 recites the limitation "the system of claim 10" on line 1. This limitation is lack of antecedent basis. It appears that the limitation should be -- the system of claim 19--.

Claims, (if any) dependent on above claims, are therefore, also rejected.

Art Unit: 2631

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goldstein et al (5,394,440).

As per claims 1, 10 and 19, see figures 2, 3a, 3b, 7a, 7b, and col. 5, line 56 to col. 8, line 58, Goldstein et al discloses a method and associated system (see figure 2) comprising:

step/means (70) having a transmitter, as claimed; and

step/means (72, 55, 76, 60, 74) having a constellation encoder (60), as claimed, wherein the constellation encoder receives data words (77, 78) and develops a signal constellation including a plurality of primary signal points (PRIMARY) and a plurality of secondary signal points (SECONDARY), wherein each of the primary signal points inherently is associated with regions (e.g., at least associated with which quadrant region, or a detection region within which the primary signal points are located for their recovery in a receiving site), and wherein said plurality of second signal points are located within said regions (see col. 6, lines 41-60).

As per claims 2, 11 and 20, Goldstein et al discloses (see figure 5c) a receiver (130c) for receiving the signal constellation; and a constellation decoder (132, 134c, 138, 139) for decoding the plurality of primary signal points and the plurality of secondary points (see figures 5a-5c and col. 8, lines 66 to col. 10, line 47).

Art Unit: 2631

As per claims 3, 12 and 21, Goldstein et al discloses a first mapping scheme (60a) associated with said constellation encoder (see figure 2) and a first de-mapping scheme (138) associated with said constellation decoder (see figure 5c) wherein the first mapping scheme is used to encode the primary signal points and the first de-mapping scheme is used to decode the primary signal points.

As per claim 4, 13 and 22, Goldstein et al discloses a second mapping scheme (60b) associated with said constellation encoder (see figure 2) and a second de-mapping scheme (139) associated with said constellation decoder (see figure 5c) wherein the second mapping scheme is used to encode the secondary signal points and the second de-mapping scheme is used to decode the secondary signal points.

As per claims 5, 14 and 23, Goldstein et al discloses a trellis coder (55) associated with the constellation encoder for trellis-encoding the secondary signal points (see figure 2).

As per claim 6, 15 and 24, Goldstein et al discloses an encoder (55) associated with the constellation encoder for encoding the primary signal points (see figure 2).

As per claims 7, 8, 16, 17, 25 and 26, Goldstein et al discloses (see figure 5c) a receiver (130c) for receiving the signal constellation; a constellation decoder (134c, 138, 139) for decoding the plurality of primary signal points and the plurality of secondary points; and a trellis decoder (132, 134c) for trellis decoding the primary signal points and the secondary signal points.

As per claims 9, 18 and 27, Goldstein et al discloses that the plurality of primary signal points are arranged as a first signal constellation group outputted from means (60a) and the

Art Unit: 2631

plurality of secondary signal points are arranged as a second signal constellation group outputted

Page 5

from means (60b) (see figure 2).

Claim 28 is rejected with reasons set forth for claims 1, 2 and 9.

Conclusion

6. Reference (2001/0028630) and (4,660,214) are additionally cited because they are

pertinent to the claimed method/system.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 703-308-0158. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-6:00) First Monday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 703-306-3034. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2631

Phung Phu Phuong Phu

Phuong Phu 04/20/04

PHOUNG PHU PRIMARY EXAMINER